IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

FRIDAY, THE 8TH DECEMBER 2006 / 17TH AGRAHAYANA 1928

WP(C).No. 32680 of 2006(M)

PETITIONER:

M.D.RAJAPPAN,
VELLAYIL HOUSE, PATTANAKKADU P.O.,
CHERTHALA.

BY ADV. SRI.K.JAJU BABU
SMT.M.U.VIJAYALAKSHMI
SRI.BRIJESH MOHAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE COMMISSIONER OF CIVIL SUPPLIES,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE DISTRICT SUPPLY OFFICER,
ALAPPUZHA.

3. THE TALUK SUPPLY OFFICER,
CHERTHALA.

4. SMT. YAMUNA MOHANAN,
ARD NO.127, CHERTHALA SOUTH,
PATTANAKKADU P.O., CHERTHALA.

R1 TO 3 BY G.P. SRI.I.V.PROMOD

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 08/12/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

.....

W.P.(C) No.32680 of 2006

.....

Dated this the 8th day of December, 2006.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner's grievance is that inspite of serious irregularities noticed in the authorised retail ration shop, the third respondent has not taken serious note of it, but has allowed the dealer to continue business with minor punishments. Since second respondent is the higher authority, it is for the second respondent to consider the nature and gravity of the irregularity and the action taken by third respondent and if it is inadequate, to issue notice to 4th respondent and take appropriate action. The W.P. is disposed of with direction to the second respondent to consider petitioner's representation, hear the parties and take decision and communicate the same to the petitioner within six weeks from the date of production of copy of this judgment by the petitioner.

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR
Judge

pms